

Collaborative Governance: Bridging the Gap Between Public and Private Sectors

Jamie Hall
PhD
National University of Singapore
Kent Ridge, Singapore 119077

Jordan Wright
Dr.
Trinity College Dublin
Dublin 2, Ireland

Nico Moore
Prof.
University of Helsinki
00100 Helsinki, Finland

Abstract. The article explores collaborative governance as a strategy to bridge the gap between public and private sectors. It examines how partnerships can enhance service delivery, innovation, and resource allocation. The study presents case studies of successful collaborations, highlighting the benefits and challenges of integrating public and private sector efforts in governance.

Keywords: Collaborative Governance, Public-Private Partnerships, Service Delivery, Innovation, Resource Allocation

Introduction

Collaborative governance represents a promising approach to bridging the gap between public and private sectors, fostering partnerships that enhance service delivery, innovation, and resource allocation. This paper explores the potential of collaborative governance strategies to address complex societal challenges by integrating efforts from both sectors. Through case studies of successful collaborations, the research identifies key benefits and challenges associated with this approach. The findings highlight the importance of fostering mutual understanding and cooperation to achieve shared governance goals.

This is a preliminary version. To read the full version of the article, please purchase a subscription.

References

1. Milanova, E. (2024). MODERN METHODS AND TECHNIQUES FOR MOTIVATING HUMAN RESOURCES IN THE ORGANIZATION. *New knowledge Journal of science*, 13(3).
2. Toshevska-Trpchevska, K., Kikerkova, I., Disoska, E. M., & Koccev, L. (2022). The Importance of Intellectual Property Law in the Prevention of Selling Counterfeit Products Online.

3. Toni, D., Vangel, D., & Ljuben, K. (2021). (De) Localization of Arbitration-Online v. Offline Arbitration-E-Arbitration in Time of Covid 19. *Iustinianus Primus L. Rev.*, 12, 1.
4. Kocev, L. (2023, December). Challenges in the Process of Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards in the Republic of North Macedonia. In *International Conference on Policies for Promoting Arbitration and Mediation in North Macedonia* (pp. 67-85). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
5. Ljuben, K. (2021). COPYRIGHT PROTECTION VS FREEMOVEMENT OF GOODS WITHIN THE INTERNAL MARKET OF THE EU. *Экономика. Право. Инновации*, (4), 55-63.
6. Гошева, Б. Д., & Калчев, К. Д. (2015). Лятна школа по ПР. Годишник на департамент „Масови комуникации“, НБУ. [Gosheva, B. D., & Kalchev, K. D. (2015). *Lyatna shkola po PR. Yearbook of the Department of Mass Communications, NBU*]. Достъпно на: <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=425956>
7. Велчев, А. (2022). Комуникационни тенденции и перспективи в онлайн медиите. *Реторика и комуникации*, (51), 99–111. [Velchev, A. (2022). *Komunikatsionni tendentsii i perspektivi v onlayn medii. Rhetoric and Communications Journal*, (51), 99–111]. Налично на: <https://rhetoric.bg/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/issue-51-April-2022-Velchev-A-pp.99-111.pdf>
8. Didyk, O. (2023). Political Martyrdom Revisited: Iran's Contemporary Perspective and Insights from the Woman-Life-Freedom Uprising. *The Copenhagen Journal of Asian Studies*, 41(2).