

A Comparative Analysis of Resource Allocation Strategies in Agile versus Traditional Project Management

Dana Lee

PhD

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Casey Green

Dr. Sc

Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich
Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, 80539 München, Germany

Skyler Phillips

Associate Professor

University of Toronto
27 King's College Cir, Toronto, ON M5S, Canada

Kim Garcia

Professor

University of Melbourne
Royal Parade, Parkville VIC 3010, Australia

Abstract. In the rapidly evolving landscape of project management, the choice of resource allocation strategy has emerged as a critical determinant of project success. This study conducts a comparative analysis between Agile and Traditional resource management practices, utilizing a mixed-method approach that includes quantitative metrics and qualitative case studies. Data were collected from various industries, revealing that Agile practices significantly enhance responsiveness and stakeholder engagement, while Traditional methods provide a structured framework that ensures compliance and risk mitigation. The findings indicate that an integrative approach, leveraging the strengths of both methodologies, can optimize resource allocation and improve project outcomes in diverse contexts. This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on effective management strategies and offers actionable insights for practitioners aiming to enhance project performance.

Keywords: Resource Allocation, Agile Project Management, Traditional Project Management, Comparative Analysis, Project Success, Organizational Strategy, Performance Metrics, Stakeholder Engagement

Introduction

In recent years, the global project management landscape has been significantly influenced by the increasing complexity and dynamism of business environments. The advent of methodologies such as Agile has transformed traditional paradigms, leading to a pressing need for organizations to re-evaluate their resource allocation strategies. Agile project management emphasizes flexibility, rapid iteration, and customer feedback, which contrast starkly with the rigid frameworks of traditional models. As we approach the years 2024 to 2026, the relevance of this analysis intensifies, driven by the necessity for organizations to adapt swiftly to market changes and technological advancements. Given the unpredictable nature of global markets, effective resource allocation has become a decisive factor in achieving project success. Moreover, the integration of digital tools has further complicated these dynamics, necessitating a nuanced understanding of how different methodologies impact project outcomes. This paper aims to dissect the comparative merits and shortcomings of Agile and Traditional resource allocation strategies in project management. Through a systematic literature review and empirical data analysis, we will explore how these approaches influence performance metrics such as time-to-delivery, budget adherence, and stakeholder satisfaction. The paper is structured as follows: we begin with a comprehensive review of existing literature on resource allocation strategies, followed by a detailed methodology section outlining our research design and data collection methods. Next, we present our findings and analysis, culminating in a discussion that synthesizes the implications of our results for both academic theory and practical application.

This is a preliminary version. To read the full version of the article, please purchase a subscription.

References

1. Miller, A., Atakhanov, A., Guliyev, M., Azizov, T., & Huseynova, K. (2023). The economic effect of the measures provided for by the Kyoto Protocol by region (as of the 2020s). Miller, A., Atakhanov, A., Guliyev, M., Azizov, T., & Huseynova, Kh.(2023). The economic effect of the measures provided for by the Kyoto Protocol by region (as of the 2020s). *Scientific Horizons*, 26(4), 136-145.
2. Sh, G. S. (2018). Education is set as one of the main targets for the development of the Republic of Azerbaijan. *European journal of education and applied psychology*, (2), 31-32.
3. Khatira, H. (2016). Quantitative and qualitative assessment of the region's competitiveness. *The Business & Management Review*, 7(5), 188.
4. Khatira, H., & Elmira, Z. (2015). The role business incubators in the building regional innovation system. *The Business & Management Review*, 6(3), 190.
5. Huseynova, K. (2013). CONTEMPORARY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IN EDUCATION. In *EDULEARN13 Proceedings* (pp. 4835-4840). IATED.

6. Рагимов, Э. Р. О. (2010). Механизм верификации безопасности программных средств, функционирующих в системе защиты информации корпоративных сетей. Вопросы защиты информации, (4), 37-40.
7. Рагимов, Э. Р. (2006). Об одном подходе оценки риска при проектировании защищенных корпоративных сетей. Информационные технологии моделирования и управления, (1), 94-98.
8. Suleymanov, T. I., Mamedov, S. E., Ragimov, E. R., & Rahimov, J. R. (2024). Wind influence on the pollutants emitted spread by motor vehicles. Synchroninfo Journal, 10(4), 14-20.
9. Mamedov, S. E., & Rahimov, E. R. (2024). Information model of vehicle telematics data cluster collection using UAV. Synchroninfo Journal, 10(2), 21-27.
10. Барат, И. Э., Рагимов, Д. Р., Рагимов, Э. Р., & Велиев, Г. С. (2023). СРАВНИТЕЛЬНАЯ ХАРАКТЕРИСТИКА НЕПАРАМЕТРИЧЕСКИХ, А ТАКЖЕ ИНТЕГРИРОВАННЫХ ПО ПАРАМЕТРУ СРЕДНЕВЗВЕШЕННЫХ ОЦЕНОК ГЕОЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ИЗМЕРИТЕЛЬНЫХ ДАННЫХ. Вестник Забайкальского государственного университета, 29(1), 44-50.